Journal Club 2019/07/26 Akiyama Shinichiro

Tensor Renormalization Group Centered About a Core Tensor Wangwei Lan and Glen Evenbly arXiv: 1906.09283 [quant-ph]





Brief review of TN rep. (1/2)

Ex) 2D classical spin model (with periodic boundary)

$$Z = \sum_{\{\sigma\}} \prod_{\langle ij \rangle} \exp\left[-\beta K(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)\right]$$

Decomposition of the transfer matrix element :

$$\exp\left[-\beta K(\sigma_i,\sigma_j)\right] = \sum_l W(\sigma_i,l)W(\sigma_j,l)$$

Integrating out σ 's, one obtains a local tensor;

$$T_{l_1 l_2 l_3 l_4} \coloneqq \sum_{\sigma_i = \pm 1} W(\sigma_i, l_1) W(\sigma_i, l_2) W(\sigma_i, l_3) W(\sigma_i, l_4)$$





Matrix decomposition technique (linear algebra) is very useful

Many types of $oldsymbol{\mathcal{R}}$ enormalization group



Algorithm of CTRG (1/3)



Algorithm of CTRG (2/3)

d: initial dimension χ : bond dimension

NOTE : Red legs run up to χ , but black ones do up to d ($\chi \gg d$)



Algorithm of CTRG (3/3) Projectors are directly decided by EVD



Truncation error is minimized!

8/11

Benchmark Results (2D Ising)



9/11

Benchmark Results (2D Ising)



• 2 curves are crossing! (how about comparison with HOTRG?)

10/11

Outlook

"We hypothesize that a version of CTRG generalized for higher spatial dimensions could reproduce results of equivalent accuracy to HOTRG, but with a much lower cost scaling in bond dimension χ ."

Comments

• Choice of boundary condition & Choice of $\forall L \in \mathbb{N}$



• Can be seen as a variant of CTMRG (Nishino-Okunishi, 1996), whose cost scales with $O(\chi^3 L)$ <u>http://quattro.phys.sci.kobe-</u>

<u>u.ac.jp/dmrg.html</u>

APPENDICES

Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

For any complex $I_1 \times I_2$ -matrix A can be written as the product $A = U^{(1)}SU^{(2)}^{\dagger}$

where

- 1. $U^{(1)}$ is an $I_1 \times I_1$ unitary matrix.
- 2. $U^{(2)}$ is an $I_2 \times I_2$ unitary matrix.
- 3. S is an $I_1 \times I_2$ -matrix such that
 - (i) Pseudo-diagonality : $S = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \cdots, \sigma_{\min(I_1, I_2)})$

(ii) Ordering :
$$\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_{\min(I_1, I_2)} \ge 0$$

 σ_i 's are singular values of A and the *i*-th column vectors of $U^{(1)}$ and $U^{(2)}$ are, resp., *i*-th left and right singular vector.

Higher-Order Singular Value Decomposition (HOSVD)

Any complex $I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_n$ -tensor A can be written as the product

$$A_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} = \sum_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n} S_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n} U_{j_1 i_1}^{(1)} U_{j_2 i_2}^{(2)} \cdots U_{j_n i_n}^{(n)}$$

where

1. $U^{(k)}$ is a unitary $I_k \times I_k$ -matrix.

2. *S* is a complex $I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_n$ -tensor such that

(i) Fixing the k-th index of S, say $S_{i_k=\alpha}$, and if $\alpha \neq \beta$, then

$$\sum_{i_{1}i_{2}\cdots i_{n}} S_{i_{1}i_{2}\cdots i_{k-1}\alpha i_{k+1}\cdots i_{n}} S_{i_{1}i_{2}\cdots i_{k-1}\beta i_{k+1}\cdots i_{n}} = 0$$

(ii) Ordering :

$$\|S_{i_{k}=\alpha}\| \coloneqq \sqrt{\sum_{i_{1}i_{2}\cdots i_{n}} S_{i_{1}i_{2}\cdots i_{k-1}\alpha i_{k+1}\cdots i_{n}} S_{i_{1}i_{2}\cdots i_{k-1}\alpha i_{k+1}\cdots i_{n}}} \\ \|S_{i_{k}=1}\| \ge \|S_{i_{k}=2}\| \ge \cdots \ge \|S_{i_{k}=I_{k}}\| \ge 0$$

SVD introduces virtual dof

Consider the system consisting of subsystems *X* and *Y*. Setting the pure state of the total system as

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} \psi(x, y) |x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle$$

If $\psi(x, y) = u(x)v(y)$, then the state is separable. Actually,

$$|\psi\rangle = \left(\sum_{x \in X} u(x)|x\rangle\right) \bigotimes \left(\sum_{y \in Y} v(y)|y\rangle\right)$$

SVD introduces virtual dof

Regarding $\psi(x, y)$ as a matrix element. By SVD,

$$\psi(x,y) = \sum_{l=1}^{N} u_l(x)\sigma_l v_l(y)$$

If N > 1, the state is not pure. However, as a matrix,

$$\psi = U\Sigma V^{\dagger} = (U\Sigma^{1/2})(V\Sigma^{1/2})^{\dagger} =: \widetilde{U}\widetilde{V}^{\dagger}$$

This looks very similar with $\psi(x, y) = u(x)v(y)$.