Thermodynamics of SU(3) Gauge Theory from Gradient Flow

FlowQCD Collab(M.Asakawa et. al.), arXiv:1312.7492

2

4

6

7

10

Yusuke Namekawa for a journal club

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Formulation
- **3** Simulation setup
- 4 <u>Simulation results</u>
- 5 Conclusion

1 Introduction

Quarks and gluons are deconfined at high temperature and density to be quark-gluon plasma.

• Quark-gluon plasma is characterized by thermodynamic quantities, such as the equation of state(energy density, pressure).



There are several ways to calculate the equation of state.

- Integral method, $p = -(1/V) \int d\beta \partial_{\beta} \log Z$
- Operator method
- Energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ with shifted boundary
- Energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ with gradient flow \leftarrow This paper.

Energy-momentum tensor is related to the equation of state s.t.

energy density :
$$\epsilon = -\langle T_{00} \rangle$$

pressure : $p = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \langle T_{ii} \rangle$

2 Formulation

[Gradient flow] cf. Taniguchi-san's journal clubs (2010;2013) Gradient flow is defined as a deformation of the gauge field $A_{\mu}(x)$ along a fictitious time t. Atiyah and Bott (1982)

$$\partial_t B_{\mu}(t, x) = D_{\nu} G_{\nu\mu}(t, x), \qquad (1)$$

$$B_{\mu}(t = 0, x) = A_{\mu}(x) \qquad (2)$$

$$B_{\mu}(t, x) : \text{flowed gauge field}$$

$$G_{\nu\mu}(t, x) : \text{flowed field strength}$$

[Energy-momentum tensor from the gradient flow]

• Coefficients $\alpha_U(t), \alpha_E(t)$ are calculated at 1-loop H.Suzuki, 2013.

 \diamondsuit Small t is needed for perturbations, $a \ll \sqrt{8t} \ll L, 1/T$.

- $\diamond a, t \to 0$ must be performed satisfying $a \ll \sqrt{8t}$. ex. first $a \to 0$, then $t \to 0$.
- \Diamond Non-perturbative determination of $\alpha_U(t), \alpha_E(t)$ has been proposed M.Lüscher,2013; L.Del Debbio et. al., 2013.
- (It corresponds to a smeared or cooled $T_{\mu\nu}$.)

$$T_{\mu\nu} := \lim_{t \to 0} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_U(t)} U_{\mu\nu}(t, x) + \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu}}{16\alpha_E(t)} (G^2(t, x) - \langle G^2(t, x) \rangle_0) \right) 3)$$

$$U_{\mu\nu}(t,x) := G_{\mu\rho}(t,x)G_{\nu\rho}(t,x) - \frac{\sigma_{\mu\nu}}{4}G^2(t,x)$$
(4)

cf.
$$T_{\mu\nu}$$
 := $F_{\mu\rho}(x)F_{\nu\rho}(x) - \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu}}{4}F^2(x)$: naive definition (5)

-5 / 10 -

3 Simulation setup

- $N_f = 0$ (pure SU(3) theory)
- Lattice size : $32^3 \times 6, 8, 10, 32$
- plaq action with $\beta = 5.89 6.56 \ (a^{-1} = 1.5 5.0 \ [GeV])$

$$\langle T/T_c = 0.99 - 1.65 \rangle$$

• #conf = 300

[Calculation procedure]

- 1. Generate gauge config $A_{\mu}(x)$
- 2. Evolve $A_{\mu}(x)$ to $B_{\mu}(t, x)$ by the gradient flow
- 3. Construct $T_{\mu\nu}(t)$ from $B_{\mu}(t,x)$

4. Perform
$$\lim_{a,t\to 0} T_{\mu\nu}(a,t)$$
 satisfying $a \ll \sqrt{8t}$

4 <u>Simulation results</u>

[Flow time dependence of observables]



- Data must be in $[t_{min}, t_{max}]$ satisfying $a \ll \sqrt{8t} \ll L, 1/T$.
 - $\diamondsuit \quad \text{Set } \sqrt{8t_{min}} = 2a$ to avoid discretization effects.

$$\diamondsuit \quad \text{Set } \sqrt{8t_{max}} = 1/(2T), \\ \text{factor } 2 \text{ is from periodicity.}$$

- Data at $\sqrt{8tT} = 0.4$ is employed.
 - \diamond No $t \rightarrow 0$ limit ???
 - \diamond The choice of $\sqrt{8tT} = 0.4$ seems too aggressive.

[Continuum extrapolations with $\sqrt{8t}T = 0.4$ data]



• The data of $(\epsilon - 3p)/T^4$ is consistent with the previous result at a finite lattice spacing.

 \leftarrow No comparison for $(\epsilon + p)/T^4$???

• Finite size effects must be visible on the finest lattice $(1/N_t^2 = 0.01)$, where $N_s/N_t = 3.2$.



- The authors claim "The results are qualitatively consistent".

 ← i.e. the authors fail to reproduce the previous results.
- No reason is given. It may be

 - \diamond Finite size effects The smallest aspect ratio is $N_s/N_t = 3.2.$

5 <u>Conclusion</u>

The energy density and pressure of quark-gluon plasma (strictly, those of SU(3) gauge theory) are calculated by use of the gradient flow.

- The results are "qualitatively consistent with the previous works".
 ← i.e. the authors fail to reproduce the previous results.
- Although the authors do not show the origins of the discrepancies, they seem to be the followings.
 - $\diamondsuit t \to 0$ extrapolation
 - \diamondsuit Finite size effects
- The method is interesting. More detailed analysis is needed.



[Energy-momentum tensor]

The energy-momentum tensor is a Noether current associated with the spacetime translational symmetry.

For
$$x \to x' = x + \xi$$
, $\phi'(x') = \phi(x)$. (6)

Then, the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ is defined as,

$$T_{\mu\nu} := \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_{\nu}\phi)} \partial_{\mu}\phi - \delta_{\mu\nu}L.$$
(7)

[ex. $T_{\mu\nu}$ for electromagnetic field]

$$L_{EM} = \frac{1}{16\pi} F^{2}$$
(8)
$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(F_{\mu\rho} F_{\nu\rho} - \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu}}{4} F^{2} \right).$$
(9)